

**Q1** *Intrusion Detection Scenarios (SU21 Final Q8)*

(12 points)

For each scenario below, select the best detector or detection method for the attack.

Q1.1 (3 points) The attacker constructs a path traversal attack with URL escaping: %2e%2e%2f%2e%2e%2f.

- (A) NIDS, because of interpretation issues       (D) HIDS, because of cost  
 (B) NIDS, because of cost       (E) —  
 (C) HIDS, because of interpretation issues       (F) —

**Solution:** This path traversal attack is masked using percent encoding in URLs. A traditional NIDS might not recognize this since it is specific to HTTP servers, so a HIDS would be the best option here in order to avoid the interpretation issues of percent encoding.

Q1.2 (3 points) The attacker is attacking a large network with hundreds of computers, and a detector must be installed as quickly as possible.

- (G) NIDS, because of interpretation issues       (J) HIDS, because of cost  
 (H) NIDS, because of cost       (K) —  
 (I) HIDS, because of interpretation issues       (L) —

**Solution:** A major advantage of NIDS is that they can be quickly installed in order to cover an entire network. Because of the time constraints, the NIDS would be the best in order to mitigate the time cost.

Q1.3 (3 points) The attacker constructs an attack that is encrypted with HTTPS.

- (A) NIDS, because of interpretation issues       (D) HIDS, because of cost
- (B) NIDS, because of cost       (E) —
- (C) HIDS, because of interpretation issues       (F) —

**Solution:** A NIDS is not able to decrypt data since it doesn't have the keys that are stored on the host. Thus, only the host can decrypt and interpret the requests, and a HIDS would be the best IDS to use here.

Q1.4 (3 points) The attacker constructs a buffer overflow attack using shellcode they found online in a database of common attacks.

- (G) Signature-based       (J) Behavioral
- (H) Specification-based       (K) —
- (I) Anomaly-based       (L) —

**Solution:** This shellcode is easily obtainable and has not been modified, so a signature that matches the exact shellcode would be most effective in detecting this attack.

## Q2 Networking: A TORrible Mistake

(7 points)

Q2.1 (1 point) Assuming no malicious nodes collude, an  $n$ -node Tor circuit provides anonymity (i.e. no node learns who both the user and server are) when at least \_\_\_\_\_ node(s) are honest. Fill in the blank.

- 0                       1                        $n - 1$                         $n$

**Solution:** The intended answer was 1. As seen in lecture, a Tor circuit is secure if at least one node is honest. Anonymity is only broken if every node in the circuit colludes, so that together they can reconstruct the entire circuit that messages are being routed through.

However, after the exam, we decided the question wording was unclear, because it assumes that no malicious nodes collude. If no malicious nodes collude, then Tor is secure, even if none of the nodes are honest, so we accepted 0 as an alternate answer.

For the next 3 subparts, a user is using Tor to send a message to a server. Assume that there is no collusion between any Tor nodes, and that the user chooses exactly 3 nodes for their Tor circuit.

Q2.2 (1 point) Which values can a malicious **entry** node learn? Select all that apply.

- The IP address of the user                       The list of all nodes in the circuit  
 The IP address of the server                       None of the above

**Solution:** The user sends messages to the entry node, telling the entry node to forward those messages to the next node.

The IP address of the server is wrapped in many layers of encryption inside the message sent to the entry node, so the entry node cannot see that value.

The entry node knows about the second node in the circuit, but not the entire list of nodes.

Q2.3 (1 point) Which values can a malicious **exit** node learn? Select all that apply.

- The IP address of the user                       The list of all nodes in the circuit  
 The IP address of the server                       None of the above

**Solution:** The exit node is the last node in the circuit, who needs to know the server's identity so that they can forward the message to the server.

By the time the message reaches the exit node, all information about the original user's identity has been stripped away (the entry node removed all traces of the original user's identity when forwarding the packet to the second node).

The exit node knows about the second-to-last node in the circuit, but not the entire list of nodes.

Q2.4 (1 point) Which values can an on-path attacker on the user's local network learn? Select all that apply.

- The IP address of the user
- The list of all nodes in the circuit
- The IP address of the server
- None of the above

**Solution:** The on-path attacker in the local network can see the user sending messages into the Tor network (to the entry node).

However, the IP address of the server is encrypted inside the message sent to the entry node, so the on-path attacker cannot see that value.

The on-path attacker only knows about the entry node, not the entire list of nodes in the circuit.

When a new user first downloads Tor, they need to download a list of nodes from a trusted directory server.

A malicious, on-path attacker on the user's local network wishes to eavesdrop on the new user's Tor connection. Assume that the attacker controls 3 nodes out of 100 total Tor nodes, and can win any data race.

For the next three subparts, select the approximate probability that the attacker can learn the identity of the server.

Q2.5 (1 point) User connects to the directory via TLS, attacker is on-path.

- Exactly 0%
- Greater than 50%, less than 100%
- Greater than 0%, less than 50%
- Exactly 100%

**Solution:** Because the directory connection is made over TLS, and TLS has end-to-end security, the on-path attacker cannot tamper with the list of nodes.

Therefore, the on-path attacker can only hope that the user randomly selects the three nodes controlled by the attacker.

The probability of selecting the 3 attacker-controlled nodes out of 100 nodes is intuitively less than 50%, but it's not 0%.

Formally, you can calculate this probability to be  $6/(100 \cdot 99 \cdot 98)$ , where the numerator is the number of ordered ways to choose the 3 attacker nodes (counting all possible orders, since order doesn't matter), and the denominator is the number of ordered ways to choose any 3 nodes.



**Q3** *Suit of Armor Around the World (SP22 Final Q8)* (16 points)

You are tasked with securing The Avengers' internal network against potentially malicious protocols! For each type of firewall and set of traffic, state whether the firewall is able to achieve the desired functionality with perfect accuracy. **Assume that IP packets are never fragmented.** All connections that are not mentioned can be either allowed or denied.

If you answer Possible, briefly (in 3 sentences or less) how the firewall should operate to achieve the desired effect. If you answer False, provide a brief justification for why it isn't possible.

Q3.1 (4 points) **Desired Functionality:** Block all inbound TCP connections. Allow all outbound TCP connections.

**Firewall:** Stateless packet filter

- Possible  Not possible

**Solution:** This is possible by blocking all inbound packets with only the SYN flag set, which prevents inbound connections. This allows outbound connections by allowing outbound SYN packets, and the resulting inbound SYN-ACK packet is allowed.

Q3.2 (4 points) **Desired Functionality:** Allow all outbound TLS connections. Block all outbound TCP connections that aren't running TLS.

**Firewall:** Stateful packet filter

- Possible  Not possible

**Solution:** While a stateful packet filter *can* reassemble a TCP data stream and look for signatures of a TLS handshake, it can still be circumvented with techniques such as sending multiple small TCP segments with the same sequence number but differing TTLs.

Q3.3 (4 points) **Desired Functionality:** Allow outbound DNS requests. Block inbound DNS responses. Assume that name servers always listen on port 53.

**Firewall:** Stateless packet filter

- Possible  Not possible

**Solution:** This is possible (although it doesn't achieve much). One would allow outbound UDP datagram packets with the destination port 53 but block inbound UDP datagram packets with source port 53.

Q3.4 (4 points) **Desired Functionality:** Block all HTTP traffic that contains the literal string **Ultron**. Allow all other HTTP traffic.

**Firewall:** TCP proxy

Possible

Not possible

**Solution:** TCP proxies allow the TCP stream to be reconstructed exactly. Once the stream is reconstructed, the firewall can keep track of the entire HTTP request as state and, if it contains the string `Ultron`, drop the connection.